Singidunum University International Scientific Conference
Međunarodna naučna konferencija Univerziteta Singidunum

PAPERS FROM THE THEMATIC AREAS OF THE CONFERENCE
Corporate finance
Original paper

BUSINESS AGILITY AND INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORKS OF THE IBRD

Tijana Radojević[1]*,
Danica Rajin[2],
Jelena Nikolić[1]

[1]Singidunum University,
Belgrade, Republic of Serbia

[2]FEFA,
Belgrade, Republic of Serbia

Abstract:

This paper examines the role of the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development (IBRD) within the global financial system, emphasizing its institutional frameworks, objectives, and membership criteria. It highlights business agility as a key factor enabling the Bank to adapt to rapidly changing economic conditions. The study reviews IBRD's historical development, governance, capital structure, and diverse lending instruments. It also examines the Bank's role in knowledge transfer and technical assistance, particularly supporting countries in transition such as Serbia. The methodology combines literature review, comparative analysis, and case studies. Findings indicate that IBRD functions as a stabilizing force and a critical partner in global development, yet faces challenges linked to transparency, political influence, and governance imbalances. The paper concludes that reforms are needed to enhance inclusiveness, agility, and responsiveness, ensuring IBRD can effectively address contemporary global challenges, including climate change, geopolitical instability, and digital transformation, while maintaining its developmental and financial mandate.

Keywords:

institutional frameworks, business agility, IBRD, global financial system, governance reforms.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the contemporary global business environment, the importance of institutions capable of rapidly adapting to dynamic economic, political, and social changes has been steadily increasing. The concept of business agility, originally developed within the domains of management and information technology, is now increasingly associated with the operations of international financial institutions. In this context, the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development (IBRD) serves as a paradigmatic example. As an integral institution within the World Bank Group, the IBRD has a long-standing tradition of financing development and providing technical assistance.

The aim of this paper is to analyze the institutional objectives and operational framework of the IBRD, with particular emphasis on the role of business agility in the global business environment. The research encompasses the Bank's historical evolution, capital and governance structure, lending instruments, and cooperation with member states. Furthermore, the paper addresses the challenges and criticisms accompanying the IBRD's activities, as well as the prospects for its future development.

Correspondence:
Tijana Radojević

e-mail:
tradojevic@singidunum.ac.rs

CC BY NC ND

DOI: 10.15308/finiz-2025-88-94

2. INTERNATIONAL BANK FOR RECONSTRUCTION AND DEVELOPMENT

The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development (IBRD) was instituted in 1944 under the Bretton Woods Agreement to provide resources for the reconstruction of war-torn economies. Its initial mandate focused on low-interest loans and the stabilization of the international financial system. Over time, the Bank's mandate evolved from supporting postwar reconstruction to facilitating investment in diverse development initiatives on a global scale. Membership requires prior accession to the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the subscription of capital shares, ensuring both institutional stability and financial credibility. The governance framework balances the influence of major shareholders with the inclusiveness of smaller states, thereby safeguarding broad participation (Clark & Dolan, 2020).

From its inception, the IBRD has gradually expanded its membership base, reflecting states' growing interest in multilateral cooperation. Today, it brings together 189 sovereign members, making it one of the largest global financial institutions. This broad membership encompasses economies at all stages of development, from highly industrialized states to low-income nations. As Bradlow and Hunter (2010) emphasize, such diversity strengthens the Bank's legitimacy and fosters a more equitable approach to decision-making.

The geographical breadth of membership enables the IBRD to design programs tailored to regional and national priorities. Member states representing Europe, Asia, Africa, Latin America, and the Middle East participate in shaping policies through representation in the Board of Governors and Executive Board. These institutions serve as platforms for collective deliberation on global economic flows and development strategies.

In this sense, the IBRD functions not only as a lender but also as a forum for dialogue, experience-sharing, and the search for common solutions. Its diverse membership and strong institutional framework demonstrate a sustained commitment to multilateral cooperation, positioning the Bank as a cornerstone of the global financial system.

2.1. CAPITAL STRUCTURE OF THE IBRD

The capital structure of the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development (IBRD) constitutes the foundation for financing development and projects worldwide. Its core consists of subscribed capital, comprising paid-in and callable components. Paid-in capital represents the direct contributions made by member states, while callable capital serves as a guarantee that, in the event of financial difficulties, members will provide additional resources.

In recent years, the IBRD has also introduced new financial instruments to strengthen its capacity. The World Bank (2024) notes that in 2024 the Bank implemented mechanisms such as hybrid capital and the portfolio guarantee platform, as well as the Livable Planet Fund, which are employed as instruments to increase available resources. These forms of capital enable the Bank to expand the scope of project financing.

The financial stability of the IBRD can be assessed through the equity-to-loans ratio, which stood at 21.5% in 2024. This reflects both a high level of capital adequacy and the Bank's ability to maintain its triple-A (AAA) credit rating.

Table 1. Key Indicators of IBRD Capital Structure in 2024

Indicator	Amount (USD)	Note
Total subscribed capital	323.1 billion	Aggregate member obligations for shares
Paid-in capital	22.5 billion	Actual contributions from members
Callable capital	300.6 billion	Guarantee component of capital
Total equity	63.5 billion	Balance as of June 30, 2024
Usable equity	54.7 billion	Equity available for lending operations
Equity-to-loans ratio	21.5%	Indicator of financial strength
New commitments through portfolio guarantee, hybrid capital, and livable planet fund	11 billion (potential – 70 billion)	Innovative mechanisms
Funds raised through issuance of sustainable development bond	≈ 53 billion	Market financing in 2024

Source: World Bank Annual Report 2024

3. LENDING INSTRUMENTS AND THE GLOBAL INFLUENCE OF THE IBRD

The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development (IBRD) offers a wide range of lending instruments, including investment loans, development policy financing, and program-for-results financing. Investment loans are designed to finance specific projects, while development policy loans support structural reforms (Reinsberg, 2016). Program-based lending links disbursements to achieved outcomes, thereby enhancing the efficiency of resource use. In addition to these core instruments, the Bank also provides guarantee arrangements, emergency loans, and risk management products (Kersting & Kilby, 2019). This diversity of instruments positions the IBRD as one of the most influential actors in the global financial system. Its ability to issue bonds while maintaining a triple-A (AAA) credit rating enables member states to access financing on more favorable terms compared to commercial sources.

Investment loans (Investment Project Financing) are among the most common instruments extended by the IBRD and represent its oldest and most widespread lending form. Their objective is to finance concrete projects that generate long-term benefits for borrowing countries. Such projects include, for example, the construction of roads, power plants, or educational institutions. This lending model also provides technical support in cooperation with local institutions. The World Bank (2024) shows that this instrument continues to constitute the largest share of the IBRD's portfolio.

Development Policy Financing constitutes another important instrument. Unlike investment loans, these credits are not tied to specific projects but instead support broader economic and institutional reforms within a country. Disbursements are typically made in tranches. In 2024, the IBRD devoted particular attention to development policy loans aimed at supporting climate and social transformation (World Bank, 2024).

Program-for-Results Financing represents a third category of instruments. These loans directly link disbursements to the achievement of measurable results. For example, a country requesting a loan for road infrastructure may only receive funds after constructing a predetermined number of kilometers of new roads. This approach facilitates the measurement of loan effectiveness. As highlighted in the most recent report, this instrument has seen growing application, particularly in the health and education sectors.

According to the World Bank (2024), these three lending instruments form the core of the IBRD's financial offering. However, beyond this core portfolio, the Bank also provides supplementary lending mechanisms such as guarantees, catastrophe risk financing, emergency loans, and risk management products.

Guarantees are not conventional loans but are highly significant, as they reduce investor risk and improve access to finance. As noted in the World Bank (2024), the use of guarantees has expanded in the energy and infrastructure sectors.

Another category of supplementary instruments consists of Catastrophe Deferred Drawdown Options (Cat DDOs), which represent contingent financing mechanisms designed for natural disasters or crises. These loans are pre-approved but may only be drawn upon in the event of an emergency.

Finally, the Bank provides Risk Management Products, which serve to protect borrowers against currency and interest rate risks.

3.1. REGIONAL STRUCTURE OF IBRD LOAN BENEFICIARIES

In fiscal year 2024, the IBRD disbursed loans across the globe, with a focus on development projects, the green transition, infrastructure, and public services (World Bank, 2024).

- Eastern and Southern Africa: USD 2.9 billion (8% of the portfolio), directed toward education, health, water supply, energy, and climate resilience.
- Western and Central Africa: USD 1.7 billion, primarily for road infrastructure and social projects.
- East Asia and the Pacific: USD 6.8 billion (18%), allocated to the green transition, modernization of energy grids, and public services.
- Europe and Central Asia: USD 9.3 billion (25%), supporting renewable energy, modernization of public services, and institutional strengthening.
- Latin America and the Caribbean: USD 9.4 billion, aimed at infrastructure, clean energy, digitalization, and social reforms.
- Middle East and North Africa: USD 4.2 billion, for water supply, energy, and public services.
- South Asia: USD 3.1 billion, dedicated to roads, water supply, education, health, and energy.
- Global and Multiregional Programs: USD 2.6 billion, for initiatives spanning multiple countries, including energy, digital infrastructure, health, and climate resilience.

These loans not only support individual countries but also strengthen regional and global stability, underscoring the IBRD's continuing role as a key actor in multiregional and global development programs.

Table 2. Regional Distribution of IBRD Loans (2024)

Region	Loan Amount (USD billion)	Share of Total Portfolio (%)
Eastern and Southern Africa	2.9	8%
Western and Central Africa	1.7	5%
East Asia and the Pacific	6.8	18%
Europe and Central Asia	9.3	25%
Latin America and the Caribbean	9.4	26%
Middle East and North Africa	4.2	11%
South Asia	3.1	7%
Global and Multiregional Projects	2.6	≈7%

Source: World Bank Annual Report 2024

4. IBRD AS A KNOWLEDGE BANK AND ADVISORY PARTNER

Beyond its role as a lender, the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development (IBRD) has also positioned itself as a "knowledge bank". This dimension of its operations involves providing technical assistance, expertise, and knowledge transfer to member countries. In this regard, the IBRD not only supplies financial resources but also delivers institutional support for implementing reforms. In 2024, the Bank introduced the Knowledge Compact for Action, a framework that specifies how development knowledge will be transferred to client countries. This dual role allows the IBRD to both finance projects and assist in their planning and execution.

The IBRD's role is particularly critical during periods of global financial instability. As part of the World Bank Group, the Bank has repeatedly adapted its financing instruments to support member states facing unexpected economic challenges (Carnegie & Samii, 2019). Its capacity to respond effectively under crisis conditions is a key reason for the IBRD's systemic significance within the global economy.

For example, during the COVID-19 pandemic, the IBRD rapidly provided emergency loans and financial resources (Kilby & McWhirter, 2022). At that time, many countries had limited access to capital markets, and the Bank's support helped secure essential funding to maintain healthcare systems, supply medical equipment, and protect jobs. The World Bank (2024) highlights that following the pandemic, the IBRD continued to develop new mechanisms for crisis response, notably the Crisis Preparedness and Response Toolkit, which enables countries to quickly mobilize available resources. This instrument provides the flexibility essential for maintaining stability during the initial stages of a crisis.

Beyond the pandemic, the global system has faced various geopolitical conflicts in recent years, which have contributed to instability in energy markets and inflationary pressures. In such circumstances, the IBRD provides necessary funding, which is particularly crucial for countries confronting expensive or inaccessible credit in private markets (Heinzel & Reinsberg, 2024). Support from the IBRD in these situations allows countries to avoid abrupt reductions in public investment and maintain macroeconomic stability, which in turn enhances regional and global financial stability.

During crises, the IBRD also engages other key stakeholders, including international financial institutions, private investors, and donors, thereby mobilizing additional resources. While the Bank independently approves loans, it frequently encourages the private sector to contribute the additional capital, ensuring that countries in crisis receive comprehensive support.

Mechanisms that combine rapid access to funds, flexible debt restructuring, and the mobilization of supplementary resources significantly protect the global financial system from the spread of crises. They prevent problems in one country from cascading to others. In this way, the IBRD demonstrates its systemic importance, serving not only as a provider of funds but also as a guarantor of financial security during critical periods.

5. IBRD COOPERATION WITH INTERNATIONAL AND REGIONAL INSTITUTIONS

The IBRD maintains extensive cooperation with global and regional institutions, including the IMF, IFC, MIGA, and development banks such as the EBRD and AfDB. These partnerships allow for the integration of public and private financing while mitigating risks. According to the World Bank (2024), the IBRD engaged in over 70 joint projects with regional banks in the past year, focusing on energy transition, digital governance, and climate resilience. Notable examples include the modernization of Indonesia's energy grid with the ADB and irrigation system improvements in Africa with the AfDB.

Collaboration extends beyond financial institutions. Through partnerships with the UNDP, UNESCO, and OECD, the Bank engages in initiatives related to education, environmental protection, and human rights. Such cooperation strengthens its capacity to address global challenges, particularly climate change and digital transformation.

In Europe, cooperation with the ECB and EIB is especially significant. These institutions frequently co-finance projects in the Western Balkans and Eastern Europe, including Serbia, with an emphasis on financial stability, banking regulation, and SME financing.

The IBRD also works with the OECD, WTO, ISO, ICC, and the Paris Club to advance knowledge sharing, regulatory harmonization, and trade facilitation. Joint publications and forums with the OECD support improved investment policies, while collaboration with the WTO assists members in global trade integration.

Overall, these partnerships improve the geographic allocation of resources, prevent duplication of efforts, and enhance transparency in the use of development funds. In this way, the IBRD operates not only as a lender but also as a global coordinator of development cooperation.

6. THE INFLUENCE OF THE INTERNATIONAL BANK FOR RECONSTRUCTION AND DEVELOPMENT ON ECONOMIC GROWTH AND POVERTY REDUCTION

The function of the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development (IBRD) in fostering economic growth and reducing poverty constitutes one of the fundamental reasons for its existence and continued operations over more than seven decades. Through a diverse set of financing instruments, policies, and advisory services, the IBRD seeks to assist developing countries in achieving macroeconomic stability, strengthening institutional capacities, and fostering inclusive economic growth (Michaelowa & Michaelowa, 2011).

This role is particularly salient in the context of global issues, notably climate change, pandemics, armed conflicts, and economic crises, when the need for support in economic recovery and the mitigation of social inequalities becomes especially pronounced. According to the World Bank (2024), a focus on sustainable growth and more equitable resource distribution remains the Bank's leading strategy, accompanied by increasing commitment to green investments and digital transformation.

Through its systematic approach to reforms, the IBRD occupies a pivotal position in advancing the economic development of numerous countries, helping to ensure long-term stability and inclusive prosperity.

6.1. THE SIGNIFICANCE AND OPERATIONS OF THE INTERNATIONAL BANK FOR RECONSTRUCTION AND DEVELOPMENT IN SERBIA

The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development (IBRD) plays a significant role in the economic and institutional development of the Republic of Serbia. As part of the World Bank Group, the IBRD provides Serbia with financial and technical support across various sectors, including infrastructure, education, healthcare, energy, and public administration reform. Its activities are particularly important in the context of the country's transition to a market economy and alignment with European Union standards. In this regard, the IBRD has become one of Serbia's most reliable partners in securing favorable loans, enhancing institutional capacity, and strengthening macroeconomic stability.

Serbia's collaboration with the IBRD is notable not only for the provision of long-term development financing under favorable terms but also for the Bank's support in implementing structural reforms. Through mechanisms such as Development Policy Operations and Investment Lending, Serbia receives not only financial assistance but also access to global standards, technical expertise, and monitoring of progress. In the light of increasingly complex global challenges, including climate change and energy crises, the IBRD's role in Serbia has become progressively strategic and relevant for the long-term sustainability of national development.

According to the World Bank (2024), Serbia implemented over 50 projects supported by the IBRD between 2001 and 2024, with a total value of approximately USD 4 billion. A significant increase in cooperation occurred after 2015, following the country's adoption of the new Country Partnership Framework, which enabled better project targeting and closer coordination with domestic institutions (Bijelić, 2021). Collaboration accelerated further in response to the COVID-19 pandemic, when the IBRD provided financial support to strengthen the healthcare system and social protection programs. These projects demonstrate the flexible nature of the Bank's assistance during periods of crisis (World Bank, 2024).

The IBRD has implemented numerous projects in Serbia across different sectors, with particular emphasis on initiatives that yield long-term developmental impacts and contribute to structural economic improvement. One of the most extensive and significant projects in infrastructure is the Serbia Railway Sector Modernization Project, launched in 2021, aimed at modernizing railway infrastructure and improving transport efficiency. The total project value exceeds USD 100 million. According to the World Bank (2024), the project has reduced travel times on certain routes by up to 30%, enhancing logistics and connectivity between economic centers in the country.

In the field of energy efficiency, the Scaling Up Residential Energy Efficiency Project is particularly notable. This initiative targets the reduction of energy consumption in households and public buildings while promoting renewable energy. Launched in cooperation with the Ministry of Mining and Energy, the project finances thermal insulation, window and heating system replacement, and subsidies for energy-efficient appliances. It is expected to cover approximately 15,000 households by 2026, reducing energy consumption by 25–30%.

The IBRD has also played a significant role in advancing the social sector through various projects aimed at strengthening social protection and promoting employment among vulnerable populations. Support has included upgrading social work centers, implementing employment measures, and providing material assistance, benefiting over 200,000 individuals.

In the education sector, projects have expanded preschool coverage, particularly in underdeveloped areas, with the construction of new facilities, teacher training, and enrollment of over 17,000 children. Many projects have also focused on digitalizing services and improving transparency in public spending, resulting in faster processing of citizen requests and enhanced public finance monitoring.

The impact of these projects is reflected in improved infrastructure, increased social inclusion, and more efficient institutional performance. Serbia's experience demonstrates that the IBRD's engagement is important not only for financial support but also for promoting reforms and strengthening institutional resilience (Radojević, 2022).

7. CHALLENGES AND CRITICISMS OF THE IBRD

Although the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development (IBRD) holds a vital position within the global financial system, it faces criticisms related to its asymmetric governance structure, slow project implementation, administrative burdens, and loan conditions that are not always tailored to local circumstances. The political influence of developed countries limits the participation of borrowers from developing nations, raising concerns about the Bank's transparency and equity (Stakić, 2014).

The greatest risk is credit risk - the possibility that borrowing countries, often with high debt levels or political instability, may fail to meet their obligations. Unpredictable events such as wars, natural disasters, or financial crises can generate losses, and the concentration of the portfolio in a few regionally linked economies increases liquidity vulnerability.

Currency risk is also significant, as loans denominated in dollars, euros, or pounds may become difficult to repay in the event of local currency devaluation or inflation. Administrative inefficiencies further slow project execution, while maintaining the Bank's AAA credit rating limits its ability to support the most vulnerable countries. The COVID-19 pandemic also exposed the IBRD's limited flexibility in emergency interventions, despite the mobilization of substantial resources.

The sustainability of the IBRD's role in the twenty-first century will depend on balancing the demands of global financial markets with its development mission. This requires innovative financing mechanisms and risk-sharing instruments that enhance inclusiveness and fairness in the Bank's support.

8. PROSPECTS FOR THE FURTHER DEVELOPMENT OF THE IBRD

Contemporary global economic and financial trends present the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development (IBRD) with new challenges that extend beyond its traditional role as a lender. From climate change and energy insecurity to digitalization and market fragmentation, the Bank increasingly functions as a mediator in transforming the global development model, promoting resilient and sustainable growth (World Bank, 2024).

Global instability - driven by the war in Ukraine, slowing growth in China, and geopolitical tensions - requires greater flexibility from the IBRD. The Bank has adopted its financing instruments to respond to inflationary pressures and supply chain disruptions, including rapidly disbursable loans for countries facing acute deficits.

The IBRD focuses resources on middle-income countries, which account for over 70% of global poverty yet have limited access to commercial capital. Through hybrid financial instruments, the Bank combines concessional funding with market-based capital, supporting the green transition and digital transformation. Future development prospects include strengthening crisis resilience, innovating in financing mechanisms, and establishing a more inclusive governance structure, making the IBRD a more agile and equitable institution capable of responding swiftly to changes in the global economy.

9. CONCLUSION

Based on the analysis conducted, the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development (IBRD) constitutes a key institution within the international financial system. Its capacity to provide stable and favorable financing, combined with technical support and knowledge transfer, makes it an indispensable partner for member countries. Although the institution faces criticisms regarding transparency, the dominance of developed countries, and the need for greater engagement, the World Bank Annual Report 2024 highlights its ongoing evolution and commitment to inclusive and sustainable development. In this context, Serbia's participation in the IBRD carries significant implications for improving public policy and implementing development projects.

In today's business environment, characterized by crises, climate change, and digitalization, the IBRD is expected to demonstrate business agility - that is, the ability to adapt quickly and act innovatively. Only in this way can it remain a relevant institution in the twenty-first century.

LITERATURE

Bijelić, P. (2021). *Međunarodni trgovinski sistemi*. Ekonomski fakultet, Beograd.

Bradlow, D. & Hunter, D. (2010). *International Financial Institutions and International Law*. Kluwer Law International.

Carnegie, A. & Samii, C. (2019). International Institutions and Political Liberalization: Evidence from the World Bank Loans Program. *British Journal of Political Science, 49*(4), 1357-1379.

Clark, R. & Dolan, L. (2020). Pleasing the Principal: U.S. Influence in World Bank Policymaking. *American Journal of political Science, 65*(1), 36-51.

Heinzel, M. & Reinsberg, B. (2024). Trust funds and the sub-national effectiveness of development aid: Evidence from the World Bank. *World Development, 179*, 106609.

Kersting, E. & Kilby, C. (2019). The rise of supplemental lending at the World Bank. *Canadian Journal of Economics, 52*(4), 1655-1698.

Kilby, C. & McWhirter, C. (2022). The World Bank COVID-19 response: Politics as usual? *The Review of International Organizations, 17*, 627–656.

Michaelowa, A. & Michaelowa, K. (2011). Climate business for poverty reduction? The role of the World Bank. *The Review of International Organizations, 6*(3–4), 259–286.

Radojević, T. (2022). Međunarodna ekonomija. Univerzitet Singidunum, Beograd.

Reinsberg, B. (2016). The Implications of Multi-bi Financing for Multilateral Agencies: The Example of the World Bank. In: S. Klingebiel, T. Mahn, & M. Negre (Eds.), *The Fragmentation of Aid. Rethinking International Development series* (pp. 185-198). Palgrave Macmillan, London.

Stakić, B. (2014). *Međunarodne finansijske institucije*. Univerzitet Singidunum, Beograd.

World bank, (2024). *Annual report 2024*. https://www.worldbank.org/en/about/annual-report