





Marina Prebeg*

PhD candidate, Singidunum University, Belgrade, Serbia

Abstract:

Empowering employees is a key factor in organisational success, as it boosts motivation and improves individual performance. The aim of this paper is to determine the link between employee empowerment and customer satisfaction as well as sales performance. Sixty (n = 60) employees of a renowned insurance company in Serbia completed two surveys. Data was collected through use of surveys digitally delivered to participants. The data was analysed using SPSS 21.0. The findings of this study show a positive relationship between employee empowerment and customer satisfaction. Empowered employees demonstrate a greater sense of ownership and responsibility, leading to improved customer interactions and increased satisfaction levels. The results highlight the key role of the employee empowerment in achieving customer satisfaction and sales performance. This study provides valuable insights for managers and decision-makers who want to implement effective empowerment strategies that bring positive results for both the employees and the organisation as a whole.

Keywords:

employee empowerment, motivation, customer satisfaction, sales performance.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the dynamic and competitive landscape of contemporary business, the link between the motivation/empowerment of employees and satisfaction of customers, as well as performance of the sales in the company stands as a crucial determinant of an organisation's success. Employee empowerment, often synonymous with motivation, represents the empowerment and encouragement of employees to take initiative, make decisions, and contribute proactively to the organisation's goals (Baird & Wang, 2010; Chen & Mau, 2009). Customer satisfaction, on the other hand, remains at the core of every business's pursuit (Davis, 2008). Consequently, organisations strive relentlessly to enhance customer satisfaction as a strategic imperative. This research delves into the complex interaction between employee empowerment, motivation, and its profound consequences on customer satisfaction levels and sales performance, offering potentially critical insights into the core of organisational success. Employees possess valuable knowledge and expertise, and their willingness to engage can be cultivated through opportunities facilitated by management, aligning with the principle of empowerment. (Baird & Wang, 2010). Despite the abundance of literature on empowerment, Dimitriades (2005) claims that there hasn't been much empirical investigation into this topic. Additionally, especially within the insurance industry, the empowerment could be a strategy for firms to improve employee well-being or to lessen unanticipated negative effects.

Correspondence: Marina Prebeg

e-mail: marina.prebeg@grawe.rs



The notion of empowerment is outlined through two perspectives, distinctively researched by several studies (Spreitzer, 1995; Greasley et al., 2008; Boudrias, Gaudreau, Savoie & Morin, 2009; Baird and Wang, 2010; Kazlauskaite, Buciuniene & Turauskas, 2011; Abu Kassim, Yusoff & Fong, 2012): a) The structural approach encompasses policies, practices, and organisational frameworks that grant employees the autonomy to make decisions and exert influence over their work. It embodies the concept of power-sharing between employers and their subordinates. b) The approach based on psychological perspective that emphasises the extent to which individual employees perceive amount of control they have over their own actions and activities. According to Bandura (1989), rather than being entirely independent or solely dictated by external circumstances, employees actively interpret their environments and are shaped by their perceptions, not just the reality around them, while Al-lawam and other authors (2023) concluded that leadership role is pivotal in motivation of employees, thus impacting sales performance and satisfaction of customers. Consequently, employees' perceptions of their work surroundings take precedence as the main factors influencing empowerment, surpassing the objective and tangible aspects related to the context of the work itself (Spreitzer, 1996). Based on the abovementioned studies and the overall importance of the motivation/empowerment of the employees, it is crucial that research on the topic is continuously performed, to follow the pace of changes in the business landscape.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

In the contemporary business arena, the critical importance of customer satisfaction and sales performance within a dynamic market environment is undeniable (Evanschitzky, Sharma, & Prykop, 2012). It acknowledges the increasing sophistication of customers' choices and the growing competition among companies. Sales, as a cornerstone of the market, has been extensively studied, with attention given to the nuances and complexities it entails (Roman & Ruiz, 2005; Chen & Mau, 2009; Alrubaiee, 2012). The performance of sales has historical roots, with early studies by Williams (1910) and Strong (1925) focusing on sales rules and the psychology of sales representatives (Kennedy et al., 2001; Roman and Ruiz, 2005). Van Thang and Nghi (2022) highlight the importance of the management to develop strategies in order to improve the results of employees, based on empowerment methods, implying that the interaction between salesperson and customer is highlighted as a critical determinant of sales outcomes, underscoring the importance of mutual understanding and adaptability (Davis, 2008). Additionally, acknowledgment of the growing significance of customer satisfaction in today's business landscape, is now often regarded as a key indicator of organisational quality

(Divsalar et al., 2007; Shariatpanahi et al., 2001). Ghafuri (2010), as well as research conducted by Mahmudian (2002) touches upon the relationship between sales strategies and financial performance, particularly in industries like food and insurance. To conclude, importance of adapting sales strategies to changing market demands and customer preferences are also of the crucial matter (Nikolaos et al., 2010). Evidently, in contemporary land-scape of the business, the paramount signficance of sales performance and satisfaction of customers stands as the key indicator for sustained success and market prominence, which is further explained throughout this study.

The studies conducted by Isimoya and Bakarey (2013), Rawal (2015), and Abuzaid (2018) underscore the critical link between employee motivation, that is, empowerment, customer satisfaction, and sales performance within the insurance industry. Isimoya and Bakarey's (2013) research in the Nigerian insurance sector illuminated the positive correlation between the empowered employees and heightened customer satisfaction levels, a finding that has profound implications for business success. Rawal (2015) further reinforced this notion by identifying predictors of employee engagement, shedding light on key factors that contribute to a motivated workforce. Additionally, Abuzaid (2018) demonstrated the tangible impact of employee empowerment on achieving strategic success in Jordanian insurance companies. The current scientific paper builds upon these foundational studies, emphasising the enduring importance of this topic. As businesses evolve, it remains imperative to continue researching and refining our understanding of how empowering employees directly influences customer satisfaction and, consequently, sales performance, offering invaluable insights for industry practitioners and academics alike. The primary objective of this study was to thoroughly examine the relation between employee empowerment (motivation) and both customer satisfaction and sales performance.

METHODS

3.1. Sample

Sixty male and female (n = 60) employees of a renowned insurance company in Serbia completed two surveys. Approaching frontline staff at a well-known insurance company in Serbia was done with the understanding that they operate as a connection between clients and organisations, which enhances the process of service delivery (Chow, Lo, Sha, & Hong, 2006; Liang & Jung, 2010). To specify what the frontline employee positions mean, Melhem (2003) identifies several positions as frontline jobs in the insurance industry, including managers, mentors, sales representatives, insurance consultants and agents and other employees who bear responsibilities directly related to customers.



3.2. Procedures and Measurements

Data was collected through the use of digital surveys delivered to participants. Surveys were answered by participants and returned via mail. For the purpose of this research, two questionnaires were used. The first survey on "employee empowerment" consisted of four statements, while the second survey focused on "sales performance and customer satisfaction" consisted of 12 statements. Both surveys were based on a Likert scale, where value 1 indicates the statement "completely disagree", while the value 5 indicates the statement "strongly agree".

First survey, which focused on employee empowerment, as mentioned above, consisted of four statements:

1) I feel empowered to make decisions in my role (E1);

2) I have the authority to resolve customer issues without seeking approval from a supervisor (E2);

3) I feel that what I do belongs to me and therefore I feel responsible (E3);

4) My initiative in improving work processes is encouraged (E4).

Second survey consisted of the following statements: 1) The response of our organisation to the needs of clients (Q1); 2) Quality of communication with clients (Q2); 3) Overall customer service satisfaction (Q3); 4) Clients are satisfied with our products/services (Q4); 5) Users regularly provide feedback (Q5); 6) I believe more training and development opportunities are needed (Q6); 7) I feel sup-

ported by my superiors in my daily tasks (Q7); 8) There is room for improvement in our communication with clients (Q8); 9) Our organisation should invest more in collecting customer feedback (Q9); 10) I have witnessed positive changes in customer satisfaction during my time here (Q10); 11) I have suggestions for improving our sales strategies (Q11); 12) I feel motivated by the level of empowerment the company provides (Q12).

3.3. Statistical Analysis

The information was analysed using IBM SPSS 21.0. Descriptive statistics were calculated (mean values and standard deviation) for all the variables. The normality of the distribution of data was assessed using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test and Pearson's correlation analysis was used to determine the correlation between customer empowerment and sales performance.

4. RESULTS

Baseline characteristics of the sample, in total, are presented below in Table 1.

Table 2 shows the values of Pearson's correlation on relationship between employee empowerment, customer satisfaction and sales performance. The main findings of this study show that there is positive correlation between

Table 1. Descriptive statistics of the sample.

Variables	Mean ± Std. Dev.
N	T=60
Age (years)	41.4 ± 10.4 y
Length of employment (years)	4.6 ± 4.1 y

Legend: N: Number of participants; y: Years.

Table 2. Results of correlation analysis (Pearson's correlation coefficient).

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q 7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Q11	Q12
E1	.001	.007	.006	.001	.017	.970	.034	.221	.617	.007	.722	.007
E2	.075	.138	.005	.006	.023	.423	.615	.465	.622	.269	.258	.391
E3	.001	.074	.032	.136	.403	.533	.018	.007	.071	.007	.238	.015
E4	.074	.032	.136	.403	.533	.018	.007	.071	.007	.238	.015	.005

Legend: E1: I feel empowered to make decisions in my role; E2: I have the authority to resolve customer issues without seeking approval from a supervisor; E3: I feel that what I do belongs to me and therefore I feel responsible; E4: My initiative in improving work processes is encouraged. Q1: The response of our organisation to the needs of clients; Q2: Quality of communication with clients; Q3: Overall customer service satisfaction; Q4: Clients are satisfied with our products/services; Q5: Users regularly provide feedback; Q6: I believe more training and development opportunities are needed; Q7: I feel supported by my superiors in my daily tasks; Q8: There is room for improvement in our communication with clients; Q9: Our organisation should invest more in collecting customer feedback; Q10: I have witnessed positive changes in customer satisfaction during my time here; Q11: I have suggestions for improving our sales strategies; Q12: I feel motivated by the level of empowerment the company provides.



many variables. Firstly, positive correlation was shown between E1 and Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4, Q5, Q10, Q12 (p= .001; .007; .006; .001; .017; .007; .007, respectively). Furthemore, positive correlation has been found between E2 and Q3, Q4 (p= .005; .006, respectively). Additionally, there was a positive correlation between variable E3 and variables Q1, Q3, Q7, Q8, Q10, Q12 (p= .001; 0.32; .018; .007; .007; .015, respectively). At last there was a positive correlation between variable E4 and variables Q2, Q6, Q7, Q9, Q11, Q12 (p= .032; .018; .007; .007; .015; .005, respectively).

5. DISCUSSION

The aim of this study was to analyse the relations of employee empowerment (motivation) on customer satisfaction and sales performance. The main findings of this study show the correlation between variables were statistically significant. As mentioned, results of this study show that there is a significant positive correlation between importance in employees motivation (empowerment) and its effect on customer satisfaction, as well as sales performance, closely related to the previous. Research on employee empowerment and its effect on sales performance and satisfaction of customers has started quite recently, in the last few decades as many of the scientific papers indicate (Isimoya & Bakarey, 2013; Rawal, 2015; Abuzaid, 2018).

As an example, in the Pakistani commercial banking sector, Nareem and Sait (2010) identified no discernible link between employee empowerment and customer satisfaction. However, similarly to the results of this study, the research from Isimoya and Bakarey (2013), has shown similar results on impact of employee empowerment and satisfaction of customers as well as quality of customer service. Furthermore, the study revealed that when employees possessed a significant degree of influence and autonomy in their roles, they were better positioned to take into account the unique preferences of customers, enabling them to provide a personalised level of service. The resemblance between the two studies investigating the impact of employee empowerment on customer satisfaction and sales performance can be attributed to their shared focus on evaluating the interconnectedness of these variables within their respective contexts. Another example of the positive impact of employee empowerment on pefromance of sales workforce is found within the study from Al-Dmour, Yassine and Al-Dmour (2019), who conducted the research and empirical study on employees in fivestar hotels. Authors concluded that the impact of both structural and psychological environment had the impact on sales performance of employees. As seen from the previously mentioned studies, the employee empowerment can exert a salutary influence on sales performance across diverse industries, irrespective of sector-specific nuances.

6. LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

This study has limitations that should be considered. The first limitation is the small sample that was included in the study. Namely, by increasing the sample, the generalisation of the results to the general population would be simpler. Secondly, insurance companies are subject to change. Management structures, policies, and strategies may evolve over time, potentially influencing the validity and the applicability of research findings for implementing results in future organisations.

7. CONCLUSION

The study's findings indicate a positive association between employee empowerment and customer satisfaction. Empowered employees demonstrate a heightened sense of ownership and accountability, resulting in improved customer interactions and heightened levels of satisfaction. Furthermore, the findings show an important relationship between employee empowerment and sales performance, demonstrating that engaged and empowered individuals contribute to higher sales results and revenue production. The findings emphasise the critical significance of employee empowerment in improving customer satisfaction and sales performance. This study gives significant insights for managers and decision-makers who want to implement effective empowerment measures that benefit both the people and the company as a whole.

8. LITERATURE

Abu Kassim, Z., Yusoff, I., & Fong, N. (2012). Job empowerment and customer orientation of bank employees in Malaysia. Contemporary Management Research, 8(2), 131-140.

Abuzaid, A. (2018). Employees' empowerment and its role in achieving strategic success: A practical study on Jordanian insurance companies. Jordan Journal of Business Administration, 14(4). 641-660.

Al-lawam, H. I., Almahairah, M. S. Z., Almomani, H. H. M., Shajrawi, A. A., Darawsheh, S. R., Al-Shaar, A. S., & Alshurideh, M. T. (2023). The Impact of Leadership on Employee Motivation in the Jordanian Telecommunication Sector. In The International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Computer Vision (pp. 594-604). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.

Alrubaiee, L. (2012). Exploring the relationship between ethical sales behavior, relationship quality, and customer loyalty. International Journal of Marketing Studies, 4(1), 7, 7-25.

Antonakakis, N., & Chatziantoniou, I., & Filis, G. (2014). "Dynamic spillovers of oil price shocks and economic policy uncertainty," Energy Economics, Elsevier, 44(C), 433-447.



- Baird, K., & Wang, H. (2010). Employee empowerment: Extent of adoption and influential factors. Personnel Review, 39(5), 574-599.
- Bandura, A. (1989). Human agency in social cognitive theory. American Psychologist, 44(9), 1175-1184.
- Barsoum, M. F. Moision, M., Fitz, D., Divsalar, D., & Hamkins, J. (2007) "Iterative Coded Pulse-Position-Modulation for Deep-Space Optical Communications," In A.D. Michele Effros (Eds.) IEEE Information Theory Workshop, (pp. 66-67) Tahoe City, USA, CA.
- Boudrias, J. S., Gaudreau, P., Savoie, A., & Morin, A. J. (2009). Employee empowerment: From managerial practices to employees' behavioral empowerment. Leadership & Organization Development Journal, 30(7), 625-638.
- Chen, M. F., & Mau, L. H. (2009). The impacts of ethical sales behaviour on customer loyalty in the life insurance industry. The Service Industries Journal, 29(1), 59-74.
- Chow, I., Lo, T., Sha, Z., & Hong, J. (2006). The impact of developmental experience, empowerment, and organizational support on catering service staff performance. Hospitality Management, 25(6), 478–495.
- Davis, D. (2008). The influence of salesperson leadership as a customer interaction behavior on sales performance. PhD Dissertation, Florida: Nova Southwestern University.
- Dimitriades, Z. S. (2005). Employee empowerment in the Greek context. International Journal of Manpower, 26(1), 80-92.
- Dmour, H., Yassine, O., & Aldmour, R. (2019). The Impact of Employee Empowerment upon Sales Workforce Performance via the Mediating Role of Work Engagement in the Five Stars Hotels Empirical Study. Journal of International Business and Management 2(2): 1-22.
- Evanschitzky, H., Sharma, A., & Prykop, C. (2012). The role of the sales employee in securing customer satisfaction. European Journal of Marketing, 46(3/4), 489-508.
- Ghafuri, S. M. (2010). Natural disaster risk management and insurance in the country. The Seventeenth National Congress of the Third International Seminar on Insurance and Development, 116-263.
- Greasley, K., Bryman, A., Dainty, A., Price, A., Naismith, N., & Soetanto, R. (2008). Understanding empowerment from an employee perspective: What does it mean and do they want it? Team Performance Management, 14(1-2), 39-55.
- Isimoya, A. O., & Bakarey, B. E. (2013). Employees' empowerment and customers' satisfaction in insurance industry in Nigeria. Australian Journal of Business and Management Research, 3(5), 1-11.
- Kazlauskaite, R., Buciuniene, I., & Turauskas, L. (2011). Organisational and psychological empowerment in the HRM-performance linkage. Employee Relations, 34(6), 138-158.
- Kennedy, M. S., Ferrell, L. K., & Leclair, D. T. (2001). Consumers' trust of salesperson and manufacturer: An empirical study. Journal of Business Research, 51, 73-86.

- Liang, C., & Jung, C. (2010). The relation of organizational characteristics, customer oriented behavior and service quality. African Journal of Business Management, 4(10), 2059-2074.
- Mahmudian, A. (2002). The impact of the insurance company sales strategy (Direct and Indirect) on the performance of these companies. Master Thesis: University of Sanandaj.
- Melhem, Y. (2003). Employee-customer-relationships: an investigation into the impact of customer-contact employees' capabilities on customer satisfaction in Jordan banking sector. Unpublished PhD Thesis, UK: The University of Nottingham.
- Naeem, H., & Saif, M. I. (2010). Employee empowerment and customer satisfaction: Empirical evidence from the banking sector of Pakistan. African journal of business management, 4(10), 2028.
- Rawal, S. (2015). Predictors of employee engagement in public & private insurance companies. Indian Journal of Industrial Relations, 285-299.
- Román, S., & Ruiz, S. (2005). Relationship outcomes of perceived ethical sales behavior: the customer's perspective. Journal of business research, 58(4), 439-445.
- Shariatpanahi, M. E., Bal, U., Heberle-Bors, E., & Touraev, A. (2001). Stresses applied for the re-programming of plant microspores towards in vitro embryogenesis. Physiologia Plantarum, 127(4), 519-534.
- Spreitzer, G. M. (1995). Psychological empowerment in the workplace: Dimensions, measurement, and validation. Academy of Management Journal, 38(5), 1442-1465.
- Spreitzer, G. M. (1996). Social structural characteristics of psychological empowerment. Academy of Management Journal, 39(2), 483-504.
- Strong, E. K. (1925). Psychology of selling and advertising. New York, US: McGraw-Hill Book Co.
- Van Thang, D., & Nghi, N. Q. (2022). The effect of work motivation on employee performance: the case at OTUKSA Japan company. World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 13(1), 404-412.
- Williams, S. M. (1910). Is intellectual capital performance and disclosure practices related? Journal of Intellectual Capital, 2(3), 192-203.