Abstract:
Bioenergy crops represent potential which lowers the country’s dependence on oil imports and the emission of CO\textsubscript{2} and contributes to the economic rural development. 
*Miscanthus giganteus* is the second generation agro energy crop suitable for cultivation in small agricultural households. The aim of this paper is to determine the economic advantages and limitations of *M. giganteus* production compared to the conventional production of maize in a small household in Serbia. The data are based on real yields achieved in the field during a nine-year experiment as well as prices from 2018. The experiment was carried out on a farm household which traditionally produces maize on the low fertility land with the use of their own basic machinery and storage space. Miscanthus production made for at least 30% reduced expenditures and around 16% higher revenues compared to maize production. Significant obstacles to the production of miscanthus were identified, primarily the ones related to the underdevelopment of the biomass market in Serbia.
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INTRODUCTION

Bioenergy crops are a resource that reduces a country’s dependence on oil and derivatives imports, contributes to climate change mitigation by reducing greenhouse gas emissions, and contributes to the economic development of rural areas (Mehui et al., 2017). An ideal energy crop should have adequate solar energy storage capacity in harvesting biomass with maximum efficiency, minimal abrasion, and minimal adverse environmental impacts (Kørup et al., 2017). Biomass crop cultivation systems must have a highly positive energy balance, that is, low energy expenditure relative to revenue, since energy expenditure actually involves the use of fossil fuels and carbon emissions in the atmosphere in the processes of cultivation, harvesting and especially nitrogen fertilization (Dierking et al., 2016; Germer et al., 2019). Numerous economic models have been developed for comparative energy cost analysis (Rodias et al., 2017). These models take a systematic approach to considering the production of biomass for energy use and are based on three distinct interrelated aspects: minimum price for energy crops cultivation, opportunity costs from utilizations of arable land for cultivation of crops for human or animal consumption and the substitution of fossil fuels or traditional forest biomass through demand analysis (Knapek et al., 2017).

*Miscanthus giganteus* is the second-generation agro-energy crop. The extreme adaptability to adverse environmental conditions makes this energy crop suitable for production on low-quality land in the climatic conditions of our area, which is traditionally used for growing maize (Milovanovic et al., 2012). Investment restriction in the first year of plantation being used for up to 20 years by harvesting
this aboveground plant with high biomass yields (8–40 t/ha/year) and its substantial energy value (lower thermal power 16 MG/t) makes this crop suitable for cultivation in small agricultural households.

The aim of this study is to examine income and expenditure of miscanthus production in comparison to maize production. The real yields obtained in the field experiment were used for the consideration of miscanthus for the period of 9 years, which was set up under the project TR 31078 “Ecoremediation of degraded areas by production of agro-energy crops” financed by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development of the Republic of Serbia, as well as data from maize production in the observed household.

1. METHODS

Perennial field trial

Perennial field trial of miscanthus with two variants (fertilization with 150 kg/ha Nitrogen: Phosphorus: Potassium (NPK) 15:15:15 in the year of establishment and without fertilization) on one’s own soil of low quality - type Planosol during 9 vegetation periods. The experiment was established in 2011 after standard pre-sowing preparation. Weed control was done mechanically in the first year of development.

Yield determination

The crop yield was measured in September of the going year when maximum yield is obtained but aboveground biomass contained high percentage of moisture (50%) and in late February or early March of the next year when yield was up to 30% lower due to natural drying of shoot biomass and falling leaves and the tips the stems during winter, when the harvested biomass had the best quality (Lewandowski and Heinz, 2003). For the calculations, the yield from the early spring harvest and the 2018 prices were used.

2. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Analysis of sustainable production of agro-energy crops requires a systematic approach in terms of land use for other purposes such as land for food production, pastures, industrial or service areas, recreation or disposal sites. Among that of the mentioned, agricultural land is the only real limiting factor. Therefore, the production of agro-energy crops should be organized primarily on degraded and marginal lands, although this leads to a significant decrease in yield and an increase in production costs (the European Environment Agency, EEA, 2013). There are 217,682 small agricultural holdings in the Republic of Serbia that use up to 2 ha of agricultural land, while the average size of arable land is 4.5 ha per household. The house holders are on average 61 years old and only every fourteenth of them is under the age of 40, while depopulation of the rural areas is becoming more and more pronounced (Stat. Gov. RS, 2019). Diversification of the rural economy includes, among others, raising awareness of the renewable energy sources importance and energy crops production (Strategy of Agricultural Development of the Republic of Serbia, 2014-2024). The production of agro-energy crops in Serbia is not sufficiently present because of lack of developed infrastructure for its use, except for some capacity utilizing first-generation biomass. In this paper we have analyzed the aspects of production of agro energy crop miscanthus from the point of view of small agricultural household in Serbia, in order to point out the advantages and disadvantages of this type of production in comparison to the production of a conventional crop – maize. In addition, the possible reasons why the production of biomass as a renewable energy source did not take effect will be discussed.

In our previous discussion we analyzed a real agricultural household that traditionally produces maize on relatively low fertility land (Dražić et al., 2017), has basic agricultural machinery including a low capacity pellet mill, storage area and 4.8 ha of arable land. A small field trial was established in the household with the aim of monitoring the development of aboveground biomass yield, in dry farming, as well as maize production, using basic fertilization of 150 kg/ha NPK. The real yields obtained in the field trial over the course of 9 vegetation periods were calculated, calculated to 1ha and prices from 2018 were used.

Expenses of maize and miscanthus production

Annual maize productions costs related to the entire production process from plot preparation to crop removal are shown in Table 1.
The prices in Table 1. are indicative and based on the cost of existing agricultural machinery, which is realistically old. The cost of producing maize on one’s own land is around €742, and on leased land around €972 per year. Assuming the prices remain the same for 20 years, the total cost of maize production would be 14832 €. If the production has been done on a leased land for 20 years, €19432 is required.

For the establishment of miscanthus plantations at prices from the same year, with a rhizome price of 0.15 € and a planting density of 20000 rhizomes/ha, 3060 € is necessary for the raw material, and direct planting costs 712 €. In the year of establishment the yields are small, and therefore are not removed from the field, and in the coming years the cost of harvest is 137 €/ha with a yield of 10 t/ha (Tab. 2). Indirect costs of the maize production are the same and amount to around €30 on the parcel where maize is grown. Over the course of 20 years of miscanthus plantation, the total expenses on the parcel where miscanthus is grown are 3802 € for the first year + 3095 € (19 years x 162.9 harvest and indirect costs) = 6897 €. On the leased parcel the costs are increased by 4600 €.

Revenues from miscanthus and maize production

The maize yields in the past 10 years have been 8-10 t/yr, so an average value of 9 t/ha will be selected for the account; therefore, for a period of 20 years the maize grain yield is 180 t. Maize prices are subject to change depending on the market and agro-politics, and 17.50 RSD/kg ratio was taken into consideration, providing total revenue of 25 960 € for 20 years, assuming that the prices remain stable. During the production of maize grain, there is also a corn cob which weighs around one-third of the grain – in our case, we get about 60 t/ha of cultivation over the period of 20 years, which can also be used as an energy source with lower heat value of 14.7 MJ/kg.

The price refers to maize grain, so the use of electricity for the maize shredder should also be considered: it takes 10 hours for the machine to shred 1t of maize (stalk?), consuming 2 kW per hour, with total electricity consumption of 4000 kW for the period of 20 years.

On average, miscanthus yields 10 t/ha/yr (Dražić et al., 2017); the yield in the first year after planting is negligible and shall not be included; the one in the second year is about 3.5 t/ha, so in a 20-year period we should expect a total yield of 3.5 + 18 x 10 t/ha = 183.5 t/ha. Miscanthus yields are widely reported depending on agro-ecological conditions and applied agro-technical measures; a value of 0.9t / ha of technically dry biomass can be taken as a realistic target to be achieved by 2050 in the UK (Clifton-Brown et al., 2017), while in the USA yields of up to 44.5 t/ha/ year are projected (Heaton and Dohleman, 2008). On marginal soils, miscanthus yield varies significantly (according to?) the function of soil quality, it can be up to 40% smaller on one soil and on others it can be the same as on a piece of fertile agricultural land (Dražić et al., 2017).

### Table 1 - Costs of maize and miscanthus production per 1 ha (€).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Maize Raw materials</th>
<th>Miscanthus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maize seeds (2.5 bags)</strong></td>
<td>124</td>
<td>*Rhizomes (20000 pcs) 3000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mineral fertilizer NPK</strong> 15:15:15, 300 kg</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>*Mineral fertilizer NPK 15:15:15, 150 kg 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mineral fertilizer KAN</strong>, 300 kg</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Weed protection products</strong></td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mechanical works</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Plowing</strong></td>
<td>65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pre-sowing preparation (2x)</strong></td>
<td>42</td>
<td>*Pre-sowing preparation (2x) 42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mineral fertilizer spreading</strong> (2x)</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>*Mineral fertilizer spreading (1x) 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sowing</strong></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>*Planting 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>A pesticide application</strong> (2x)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Crop cultivation</strong> (2x)</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>*Crop cultivation (2x) 33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mowing</strong></td>
<td>91.5</td>
<td>Mowing 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transportation</strong></td>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Shredding harvesting debris</strong></td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Indirect expenditure</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tax</strong></td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pension and disability insurance fund</strong></td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Land tenure</strong></td>
<td>230</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* in the year of establishment only **NPK-Nitrogen Phosphorus Potassium ; ***KAN - Potassium ammonium nitrate
Farmers could use miscanthus biomasses in their own households for heating purposes or they could sell it on the market, as is the case in some EU states (Clifton-Brown et al. 2017). So far the market for such biomass, chippings has not been developed in Serbia. Biomass is traded mostly in the form of pellets or briquettes. Wood pellets are available at a price of about 200 € / t, or from harvested residues (straw of wheat or soybeans) at a price of 130 € / t, as well as serviceable pelleting of harvest residues at a ratio of 1:5 is also available (5 t of straw for 1 t of pellets). As there is no loss of biomass during pelleting, it can be calculated that in 20 years the revenue from 23 855 to 36700 € should be realizable if the market is established.

However, pelleting also increases the cost because that part of the process is an energy hot spot (Perić et al., 2018). The pelletizer consumes 37-45 kWh per hour and processes 350-500kg of biomass in that period of time. We will use the value of 40 kW and 400 kg of biomass for the calculation giving 40 kW: 400 kg = 0.1 kW / kg. The costs related to the electricity consumed depend on the status of the user and other methods of consuming electricity (Tariff system), in accordance with the Tariff system for calculation of electricity for tariff customers.

If miscanthus biomass is used as energy for heating a household, the farmer will substitute about 10 m3 of firewood per year, which will require about 10 t of miscanthus biomass (given the thermal power and density of the heating material). This biomass can be produced on 1 ha of land.

Comparative analysis of income and expenditure of small plantation (1ha) of miscanthus and maize

Revenues and expenditures of maize and miscanthus production on a small privately owned parcel (1ha) in a farm household in the Republic of Serbia for the period of twenty years are presented in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crop</th>
<th>Revenues</th>
<th>Expenditures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maize</td>
<td>14832 + 4000kW electric energy</td>
<td>25 960+ 60 t corn cobs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscanthus</td>
<td>6897 + 18 000 kW electric energy</td>
<td>23 855 (36700)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the EU, the cost of planting perennial agro-energy crops is around 20-35%, the cost of harvest is about 20-30%, and the cost of fertilizers is about 20 - 30% determines their minimum price, which is the deciding factor for the farmer to opt for the cultivation of these crops (Knapek et al., 2017), although it is also necessary to take into account the crop type, as well as climatic, pedological and socio-economic conditions of a particular region.
The production of agro-energy crops over conventional has four average production on specific soil and in specific agroecological conditions, average cost of production, level of subsidies for conventional or agro-energy crops, and prices of conventional crops (Knapek et al., 2017). In the EU countries miscanthus yields are similar when the grain is cultivated in appropriate climatic conditions and when it comes to economic differences, prices differ only for land rent. From the perspective of a small farmer in Serbia, miscanthus yields are within the expected range, the cost of plantation establishment is somewhat lower, mostly due to low land rent, but there are no state subsidies for the production of agro-energy crops, and the market for this biomass has not been developed.

3. CONCLUSION

From the point of view of a small farmer in Serbia, which owns about 5 ha of arable land on which maize is grown, a partial switch to the cultivation of miscanthus would mean, for the long term in the long run, significantly less investment, increased income and less field involvement, which is not without significance insignificant given the rural population structure.

Land of a good quality should certainly continue to be used for food production and marginal lands should be used for energy crops. However, it is not possible to obtain a satisfactory yield on lower quality land. The yields achieved are on the verge of economic sustainability.

Significant obstacles to the determination of the a small farmer to produce agro-energy crops were identified, although miscanthus may be recommended. It is primarily related to the underdevelopment of the biomass market in Serbia, the absence of state stimulus measures for the production of agro-energy crops and the high cost of planting the plantation.

The setting up of large-scale agro-energy plantations, as opposed to cultivation in small households, may contribute more to rural development and poverty reduction in rural areas providing that the energy production chain from them is developed. From an environmental standpoint, the production of agro-energy crops preserves forests with their total values (economic, environmental and social) and contributes to the fight against climate change. For the valorization of agro-energy crops, it is not necessary to transform their market because the current situation leads to an excessive amount of crop residues from the fields and overuse of forests.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Research was supported by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological development of the Republic of Serbia (Project TR 31078 Ecoremediation of degraded areas by agroenergy crop production).

REFERENCE


